

This article was downloaded by:

On: 24 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597274>

### Preparation and Characterization of Poly(ethylene/Trimethylene Terephthalate) Copolyesters

E. Ponnusamy<sup>a</sup>; T. Balakrishnan<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Madras, Madras, India

**To cite this Article** Ponnusamy, E. and Balakrishnan, T.(1985) 'Preparation and Characterization of Poly(ethylene/Trimethylene Terephthalate) Copolyesters', *Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A*, 22: 3, 373 — 378

**To link to this Article:** DOI: 10.1080/00222338508056609

**URL:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222338508056609>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

## **Preparation and Characterization of Poly(ethylene/Trimethylene Terephthalate) Copolyesters**

E. PONNUSAMY and T. BALAKRISHNAN\*

Department of Physical Chemistry  
University of Madras  
Guindy Campus, Madras 600025, India

### **A B S T R A C T**

Random copolyesters were prepared from dimethyl terephthalate, ethylene glycol (EG), and propane-1,3-diol (PrG) by melt-polycondensation techniques, using varying amounts of EG and PrG. Compositions have been established by proton NMR spectroscopy. Intrinsic viscosity and number-average molecular weight were measured. Thermal properties have been studied by differential thermal analysis. Melting and degradation temperatures and thermodynamic parameters are discussed in terms of structural differences, particularly the effect of composition and chain flexibility.

---

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

## INTRODUCTION

In general, copolyesters are of great commercial importance in the field of molding plastics and fibers [1]. The structure-property relationships of the copolyesters of a mixture of two glycols and a dicarboxylic acid are used in the synthesis of polymers with specific properties. In the synthesis of these polymers an excess of glycol is used in the ester-exchange reaction and then removed during the polycondensation reaction to form a high molecular weight polymer. The thermal and physical properties of copolymers, however, vary with a change of composition [2-13]. In the present investigation we describe the preparation and characterization of copolyesters derived from dimethyl terephthalate, ethylene glycol, and propane-1,3-diol in varying proportions.

## EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Dimethyl terephthalate (DMT), obtained from Koch-Light Laboratories, England, was purified by recrystallization (mp 141°C). Ethylene glycol (EG) (LR, BDH, bp 51°C/0.8 mmHg), propane-1,3-diol (PrG) (LR, BDH, bp 84.5°C/10 mmHg), and o-chlorophenol (SISCO, bp 173-176°C) were distilled and used. Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (LR, BDH, Spectroscopic grade) was used for recording proton NMR spectra.

All the copolyesters were prepared by melt polycondensation, and the preparation of 43.8 mol% EG in the copolyester is described. The polymerization reactor was a 150-mL flask fitted with a special polymerization head containing an N<sub>2</sub> inlet, a leakproof stirrer, and a condensate collector with an air-locked vacuum system.

0.18 mol of DMT, 0.095 mol of EG, 0.095 mol of PrG, 0.006 g of antimony trioxide, and 0.025 g of zinc acetate were charged into the flask. The system was purged with nitrogen for 15 min and lowered into a constant temperature bath maintained at 170°C and stirred continuously throughout the reaction. After 3 h, methanol evolution ceased and the pressure was reduced to 1 mmHg and the bath temperature was gradually raised to 270°C and maintained for 3 h with stirring. The bath was then removed, and the polymer was dissolved in o-chlorophenol by reflux and precipitated in an excess quantity of ice-cold ethanol, filtered, and dried in vacuum. The polymer was further purified by reprecipitation and employed for characterization.

The intrinsic viscosity,  $[\eta]$ , of all the copolyesters was measured in o-chlorophenol at 30 ± 0.1°C. The number-average molecular weights ( $\bar{M}_n$ ) were determined in a Knauer (Berlin) vapor pressure osmometer at 120°C in o-chlorophenol. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer R-32 (90 MHz) spectrometer employing hexamethyldisiloxane as the internal standard.

A TA 2000 Mettler DTA system differential thermal analyzer was used to measure the melting temperature ( $T_m$ ), decomposition temperature ( $T_d$ ), heat of fusion ( $\Delta H_f$ ), and entropy of fusion ( $\Delta S_f$ ). Samples of 5-10 mg powder were sealed in aluminum sample pans and run at  $10^\circ/\text{min}$ . The heats of fusion,  $\Delta H_f$ , of the copolyesters were determined by comparing the area under the endothermic peak to the standard indium endotherm [14, 15].

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the copolyesters were colorless and soluble in organic solvents like *N,N*-dimethylformamide, *N,N*-dimethylacetamide, *o*-chlorophenol, chloroform, and TFA. Methanol, ethanol, and *n*-hexane act as good nonsolvents. Table 1 gives the intrinsic viscosity and  $\bar{M}_n$  for all the copolyesters. As the intrinsic viscosity increases, the molecular weight also increases in a regular fashion. The low molecular weight is due to the degradation during isolation in *o*-chlorophenol. The feed composition and the composition obtained from  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  [16] are listed in Table 1, and the difference between the two is due to the loss of some volatile scission products [6, 17].

When the copolymers were heated at a rate of  $10^\circ/\text{min}$  in a DTA cell, it was found that a sharp endotherm occurred around  $180\text{--}240^\circ\text{C}$  depending upon the comonomers content (EG and PrG) in the copolymer (Table 2). This endotherm was attributed to the melting of the copolyester, and the corresponding temperature is the melting temperature of the copolyester. As the PrG content increases, the copolyester becomes more amorphous and hence there is no melting temperature for copolyesters A1 and A2. The copolyester becomes completely amorphous when the composition of PrG reaches 60 mol%. When the composition of PrG reaches 100 mol%, the polymer becomes crystalline again. The melting temperature-composition relations for this component follow an independent curve, so that a eutectic-type minimum results at the intersection of the two curves [6, 18-20]. This is a typical behavior of random copolyesters (copolymers) when they are studied over the complete range of compositions.

The copolyesters were further heated to decomposition in a DTA cell at a rate of  $10^\circ/\text{min}$ . It was found that an exotherm occurred from  $200$  to  $260^\circ\text{C}$  depending upon the EG and PrG content in the copolymer (Table 2). This exotherm was attributed to the decomposition of the copolyester, and the corresponding temperature is the decomposition temperature of the copolyester ( $T_d$ ).

The thermodynamic parameters  $\Delta H_f$  and  $\Delta S_f$  for all the copolyesters were given in Table 2. All these values are in good agreement with the composition relationship of the copolymers; i.e., it

TABLE 1. Composition, Intrinsic Viscosity, and Molecular Weight of the Copolyesters

Polymer	Feed composition (mol%)		NMR composition (mol%)		$[\eta]$ (dL/g)	$\bar{M}_n$
	EG	PrG	EG	PrG		
PPrT	0	100.0	0	100.0	0.40	5941
A2	12.5	87.5	22.3	77.7	0.09	1490
A1	25.0	75.0	29.0	71.0	0.11	1612
A	50.0	50.0	43.8	56.2	0.18	3052
A3	75.0	25.0	74.7	25.3	0.12	1750
A4	87.5	12.5	83.5	16.5	0.15	2010
PET	100.0	0	100.0	0	0.20	3303

TABLE 2. Thermal Properties of Copolyesters

Polymer	PrG content (mol%)	$T_m$ ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )	$T_d$ ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )	$\Delta H_f^b$ (cal/g)	$\Delta S_f^b$ (cal/(deg·mol))
PET	0	246.0	263.0	11.92	9.63
A4	16.5	238.2	252.1	18.82	7.07
A3	25.3	237.0	256.1	15.35	5.78
A	56.2	186.7	266.1	12.07	5.04
A1	71.0	-	205.2	-	-
A2	77.7	-	204.7	-	-
PPrT	100.0	225.2	240.0	-	-

<sup>a</sup>PET = Poly(ethylene terephthalate); PPrT = poly(propylene terephthalate).

<sup>b</sup>In SI units, 1 cal = 4.184 J.

forms eutectic-type curves with composition. The  $\Delta S_f$  value of PET is found to be 9.63 cal/(deg·mol) which is in good agreement with the value reported by Smith and Dole [21] (10.20 cal/(deg·mol)).

In conclusion, the copolyesters are crystalline up to 60 mol% PrG

content and any further increase of PrG content results in an amorphous copolyester. At 100 mol%, PrG (PPrT) again becomes a crystalline copolyester.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Dr C. T. Vijayakumar for DTA analysis. One of the authors (E.P.) is grateful to the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, for financial assistance.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. G. Elias, New Commercial Polymers, 1969-1975, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1975, p. 77.
- [2] O. B. Edgar and R. Hill, J. Polym. Sci., **8**, 1 (1952).
- [3] T. Balakrishnan, E. Ponnusamy, and H. Kothandaraman, Polym. Bull., **5**, 187 (1981).
- [4] T. Balakrishnan, E. Ponnusamy, C. T. Vijayakumar, and H. Kothandaraman, Ibid., **6**, 195 (1981).
- [5] E. Ponnusamy, T. Balakrishnan, and H. Kothandaraman, Proc. IUPAC, Macromol. Symp. **27th**, **1**, 32-36 (1981).
- [6] E. Ponnusamy, C. T. Vijayakumar, T. Balakrishnan, and H. Kothandaraman, Polymer, **23**, 1391 (1982).
- [7] C. T. Vijayakumar, E. Ponnusamy, T. Balakrishnan, and H. Kothandaraman, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., **20**, 2715 (1982).
- [8] E. Ponnusamy, T. Balakrishnan, and H. Kothandaraman, Makromol. Chem., **184**, 1279 (1983).
- [9] J. G. Smith, C. J. Kibler, and B. J. Sublet, J. Polym. Sci., Part A-1, **4**, 1851 (1966).
- [10] W. Marrs, R. H. Still, and R. H. Peters, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., **23**, 1063 (1979).
- [11] W. Marrs, R. H. Peters, and R. H. Still, Ibid., **23**, 1077 (1979).
- [12] C. M. Boussias, R. H. Peters, and R. H. Still, Ibid., **25**, 855 (1980).
- [13] C. M. Boussias, R. H. Peters, and R. H. Still, Ibid., **25**, 869 (1980).
- [14] R. P. Sheldon, Polymer, **3**, 213 (1955).
- [15] R. W. Lenz and A. N. Schuler, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Symp., **63**, 343 (1978).
- [16] R. Yamadera and M. Murano, J. Polym. Sci., Part A-1, **5**, 2259 (1967).
- [17] S. Go, PhD Thesis, University of Massachusetts, 1973.
- [18] O. B. Edgar and E. Ellery, J. Chem. Soc., p. 2633 (1952).
- [19] S. Sonnerskog, Acta Chem. Scand., **10**, 113 (1956).

- [20] E. F. Izard, *J. Polym. Sci.*, **8**, 503 (1952).  
[21] C. W. Smith and M. Dole, *Ibid.*, **20**, 37 (1956).

Accepted by editor April 4, 1984

Received for publication May 6, 1984